

NEWSLETTER OF THE TI PROGRAMMABLE CALCULATOR CLUB P.O. Box 1421, Largo, FL 34294

Once again I must apologize for a late issue. My recovery from surgery in January has been unusually slow, and complicated by back problems. As a result I expect the next issue will be late as well. Thank you for your patience.

This issue extends the coverage of the TI-66. More users are coming on-line and are discovering differences between the TI-66 and the TI-58C/59 which are not described in the TI-66 manual.

A "quirk" which seems most unusual is the non-commutative multiply on the TI-58C/59. I have yet to describe it to anyone who doesn't express complete surprise. Perhaps some users were aware of the effect--if so, they weren't sharing their knowledge. I have searched old issues of 52 Notes, TI PPC Notes, PPX Exchange and TISOFT withour finding any mention of the problem.

Magnetic Card Service

Magnetic cards are available for programs in this issue on the same basis as in other issues--one dollar per card plus a stamped and self-addressed envelope. Thus the price is two dollars for Acosta's calendar program, and three dollars for Friel's Polynomial Curve Fit.

ERRATA:

Hidden Digits Viewer - V6N9/10P29 - Robert Prins finds that the divide at location 056 should be deleted. To demonstrate this he suggests creating a difficult number by pressing 2 √x x² INV INT which yields .99999999950 in the display register. Running the routine with the divide in place at location 056 yields an incorrect result of 1. -87. With the divide removed the revised routine will yield the correct 950 in the display. This routine does not display leading zeroes. In response to the number 1,111,111,111 x 1000 + 13 = in the display register the routine returns 13 to the display, and the user must assume the leading zero to obtain the correct answer of 013.

TI PPC NOTES

In the EE mode there will be five hidden digits. Thus, other readers have noted that this routine is a guard digit viewer not a hidden digit viewer. Some earlier routines such as that in V6N3P7 return the eight or nine least significant digits, and as such are hidden digit viewers, but fail to return trailing zeroes. Most of these earlier routines will return leading zeroes, and you have to count the displayed digits in order to determine whether there are trailing zeroes. But with the V6N3P7 routine counting the digits may not suffice. In response to an input of pi the routine returns 0.59265359, but in response to $2\sqrt{x}-x^2$ INV INT the routine returns 0.99999995. There is no obvious way to determine the existence of the trailing zero. The V6N3P7 routine works best when there are clearly discernible digit sequences which assist the user in determining the existence of trailing zeroes.

(Editor's Note: Charlie Williamson's routine did not contain the extra divide. I inadvertently added it during a review of the routine for Maurice Swinnen, and no one noticed until Robert's careful testing.)

<u>TI-58C Memory Protection</u> - Palmer Hanson. V9N1P19 discussed memory protection for the TI-66, the CC-40 and the TI-58C. Testing at that time showed that a TI-58C would hold memory for two days without the battery installed. Subsequent testing showed that the TI-58C memory was held for seven days without the battery, but was lost after 14 days without the battery. I have not tried to interpolate.

Enhanced ML-02 Using PGM-MM-R/S - Palmer Hanson. In subsequent use of this program I found some inadvertent errors had been made in typing the user instructions on V8N6P8:

- o Paragraph 4 should begin "Press B or R/S when you are ready to calculate the determinant. ...".
- o Paragraph 5.a should begin "If the value of the determinant is not zero, press C or R/S. A "B" is printed to indicate the calculator is ready to accept vector elements. ..."

and the second second

Digit Reverser Puzzle - Laurance Leeds questions the need for the IND immediately after LBL pi in this program from V8N1P5. My tests indicate no problems after deleting that instruction. Program author Myer Boland confirms that the instruction can be deleted.

ERRATA (cont)

The Use of RTN to Leave Fast Mode - V9N1P15 - Seorge Thomson found that the demonstration program does not remove the calculator from TRACE mode at exit from fast mode. After some joint experimentation we have arrived at a better description of the effects. We will start with a demonstration program like that in V9N1P15:

050 LBL A Cms SBR 469 CLR Pause RCL 36 R/S

400 2 5 STO 36 Nop Nop Nop RTN

469 4 9 7 2 + N EE 1 2 = STF

where the N at location 474 may be an integer from 1 through 9. Press A to run the program. The calculator will stop with a flashing N. 12 in the display. Press 7 and then EE to enter fast mode at location 400 (49*8 + 7 + 1, from V8N4P15). The value 25 is stored in data memory 36. The RTN at location 407 causes exit from fast mode and a return to location 056, immediately after the subroutine call. You will see a flashed zero (the CLR at location 056 followed by the Pause at 057) followed by a steady 25 (recalled from register 36). But if you have a printer installed the reactions which follow depend on the value of N:

If N = 1, then flag 9 will be reset, and flag 4 will be set at fast mode entry (see V6N8P3). The calculator will stop with 25 in the display. There will be no printing.

If N = 2, then flag 9 will be set, and flag 4 will be reset at fast mode entry. The calculator will enter TRACE mode at fast mode exit, yielding a printout like that at the right. You can eliminate the TRACE mode by either pressing RST or INV Stflg 9 from the keyboard. Similarly, you can avoid the TRACE mode by resetting flag 9 in the program; for example, by replacing the three Nop's at locations 404 through 406 of the demonstration program with an INV STF 9 sequence.

2.5 019 CLR O. ROL 36 25.

If N=3 both flags 4 and 9 will be set at fast mode entry. Other than the setting of flag 4 the responses will be the same as for N=2.

If N = 4 (the case in the demonstration program in v9N1P15) neither flag 4 nor flag 9 is set at fast mode entry. Even though flag 9 is not set, the calculator goes into TRACE at fast mode exit. Neither INV Stflg 9 from the keyboard nor an INV STF 9 in the program will release the calculator from the TRACE mode. Only a RST from the keyboard or in the program will do.

If N = 5 through 9 various combinations of set and reset of flags 4 and 9 occur as defined in V6N8P3. The response is the same as with N = 4. The TRACE mode persists at exit from fast mode, independent of the status of flag 9, and can only be cleared with a RST. Clearly, some other effect is causing the TRACE mode to occur. Since the effect does not occur with an N of less than 4, it seems likely that the result is somehow related to the 4's digit of the value of N.

Neither George nor I pretend to understand all of this. It does seem that the use of an N of 1 is to be preferred. Flag 4 is set, but flag 9 is not, so the user does not need to include an INV STF 9 in his program in order to avoid TRACE mode at fast mode exit. In addition, as discussed elsewhere in this issue, there is a very efficient way to make the entry constant which sets flag 4 and enters fast mode at location 001.

AN UNUSUAL TI-66 ERROR STATE - Dave Leising. If the program counter is set to the last step in the partition, and an SST is done from the keyboard no error state is indicated. But, now the TI-66 will not execute any keyboard command requiring a numeric address or an operand. A user-defined label command will not work either. Any such attempt displays an error indication, but also clears the error condition and subsequent operation is normal. Resetting the program pointer within bounds will clear the condition. CLR will not. The list of operations which will not work in this mode include:

- o All register operations except CMs and CSR.
- o GTO, SBR and all memory operations except CP.
- o User defined labels A through E'.
- o All Op commands.
- o All flag operations.

Arithmetic, trigonometry, and register operations called by statistics routines seem to work properly.

TI-66 ERROR WHEN SUBROUTINE RETURN REGISTER IS FULL - Donald Wisander noticed that with the TI-66 the calculator stops and displays an error state if an additional subroutine is called with the return register full. This is consistent with page B-3 of the manual.

That reaction is different from that of the TI-58/59 where calling a subroutine beyond the sixth level does not cause an error indication, and does not store a new return address in the subroutine register. A RTN encountered at the seventh subroutine level causes the program to go to the return address stored for the sixth subroutine level. Strange results may bedevil the unsuspecting programmer who encounters this condition. A caution appears on page D-2 of Personal Programming. The effect was discussed in V6N6/7P30 and V6N9/10P9. A sample problem similar to that in V6N9/10P9 will illustrate the differences in response of the TI-66 and TI-58C/59:

LBL A 1 STO 00 LBL B E OP 20 B LBL E RCL 00 Pause RTN

Press A on the TI-66. The display will count to 6 and stop with "Error" in the display. Press A on the TI-58C/59. The display will count to 7, flash the 7 six more times as the subroutine return register is cleared by the RTN's, and stop with a 7 in the display when the RTN is encountered with no return address available. No error condition is displayed.

The error indication with the TI-66 is a real improvement. The difference is not listed in the notes to the TI-58/58C/59 user in Appendix F of the TI-66 manual.

INSIDE THE TI-66 - D. Leising and K. Ward. We took one of our machines completely apart and made some interesting discoveries. The unit contains only two chips—the processor and a standard 1K x 4 CMOS RAM chip which is available from several manufacturers at a cost of only about six dollars each. It would be a very trivial matter for those with the knowhow to modify the TI-66 to receive homemade modules containing one of these chips, a few resistors and diodes, and two small batteries. The end result would be nonvolatile "CRAMS" like those which were going to be provided for the TI-88. I am going to do this and will furnish a schematic as soon as it is done. Perhaps one of the small companies selling TI-59 accessories would be interested in furnishing this modification to our members and others interested.

We also found that until fairly late in the design of the TI-66 there was going to be another key on the keyboard, located above the equals key. There is a cutout in the front cover for this key, and even a contact pad on the membrane, but no crosspoints on the circuit board.

TI-66 DATA REGISTER ARCHITECTURE - Dave Leising.

! A B ! C D ! E F ! G H ! J K ! L M ! N P ! Q R !

Each data register in the TI-66 consists of eight bytes (sixteen nibbles) as identified from left to right in the figure above. The function of each nibble is indicated in the table at the right. A number of less than 13 digits can be placed anywhere in the mantissa field by synthetic programming and will be correctly interpreted by the ALU; however, any arithmetic operation on the register justifies the mantissa to the left.

Nibble	Function				
A	Sign Control				
B	Mantissa MSD				
P	Mantissa LSD				
Q	Exponent MSD				
Ď	Exponent ISD				

CICH CICHTEICANCE

The sign control nibble logic is shown at the right. Bits 3 and 4 are "don't cares" during a read operation on the register. These bits are set to zero during any arithmetic operation on the register.

The exponent field is nibbles Q and R. An exponent value of 25 will appear as a R/S (code 25) in the last step of the equivalent program octet.

	ΒI	10		210W 210	MIFICHNUE
1 -	2 -	3 -	4 -	Mantissa 	Exponent
0	0	X	x	+	+
0	1	X	X	-	+
1	0	X	X	+	-
•	•	v	•	_	_

DITC

NEWCOMER'S CORNER - THE GREAT CALENDAR RACE - Palmer Hanson

Several of the newer members have asked for some historical notes. For this issue I will discuss the calendar printing competition which started in the May 1978 issue of 52 Notes. The earliest TI-59 calendar program ran at about thirty minutes per year. But with such TI-59 giants as Lou Cargile, Jared Weinberger, Maurice Swinnen, Bill Skillman, and Richard Vanderburgh participating the time to print a full year was rapidly reduced. A 2 minutes 38 second program by Panos Galidas was published in the September 1978 issue of 52 Notes.

In early 1980 Maurice Swinnen for TI PPC Notes and Richard Nelson for the PPC Calculator Journal agreed on a set of competitions to demonstrate the relative capabilities of the TI and HP machines, as Maurice put it in V5N4/5P1. "now that the RPN programmers have a more seaworthy machine in the HP-41C." The calendar contest was resurrected. The TI-59 execution time for a full year still stood at 2 minutes 38 seconds. The best HP-41 time was reported to be in the six minute range. The competition was about to heat up. Roger Hill responded with an HP-41 program which would print a one year calendar in 2 minutes 18 seconds. The July/August 1980 issue of the PPC Calculator Journal published Roger's program and claimed the lead. But in mid-summer I had adapted Panos Galidas' program for use with the Martin Neef fast mode technique, yielding a one year printout in 1 minute 32 seconds. V5N7P7 of TI PPC Notes published those results in the first week of September, reclaiming the lead for the TI-59. Roger Hill responded quickly with another chapter of what he called the "#11/44/44 friendly competition". The October 1980 issue of the PPC Calculator Journal V7N8P15) published his new HP-41 program with a printing time of only 1 minute 14 seconds -- very close to the theoretical limit for that device. Using ideas from Richard Snow the TI-59 execution time was reduced to 1 minute 26 seconds (V5N8P4), but the TI users had run into a version of the existence theorem: "If you doubt that a faster program can be witten, you won't try to write it."

The emphasis on speed for the TI-59 switched to the speedy factor finder problem, and there has been no more calendar effort reported in TI PPC Notes. A fresh attack on the calendar problem came from Patrick Acosta, who had already developed the fastest TI-59 Speedy Factor Finder using the Pgm-02-SBR-239 method of fast mode entry (V6N4/5P13). In July 1981 I received a calendar printing program which used the h12 method of fast mode entry. This was several months before publication of the landmark article on other methods of entering fast mode in V6N8P3/4. The use of the h12 technique permitted use of the ML-20 program for some of the preliminary calculations, releasing additional memory for program enhancement. The result was a program which will print the worst case calendar year (2000) in 1 minute 23 seconds, the best TI-59 time to date.

Of course some readers will wonder at all this discussion of calendars. As Maurice Swinnen observed in V7N7P7:

"One of the members once admonished me not to run 'silly' calendar contests. 'I can get all the calendars I want at the bank' he wrote me. He obviously missed the point by more than a mile! The calendar is only the vehicle by which we try to get more out of our calculators."

A similar comment applies to the running of other programming problems. Elsewhwere in this issue you will find the results of the calendar printing problem applied to other calculators and computers.

A DNE MINUTE 23 SECOND CALENDAR - Patrick Acosta

Editor's Note: Patrick's original program included a demonstration of the RTN technique for leaving fast mode. Our common failure to remember the effect of setting flag 9 resulted in our deleting of the RTN technique to avoid the TRACE effect. Those problems led to the comment about returning in trace mode in V6N8P4. The program presented here has used a revised fast mode entry constant to re-establish the RTN technique.

User Instructions:

- 1. Store the program using the program listing from page 8 and the constants from the table at the right side of this page. Be sure to enter all thirteen digits of the constants where indicated. Record the four card sides.
- 2. To initialize the program enter all four card sides. Then generate the h12 at location 136 with the following keyboard sequence. Do not clear the flashing displays as they occur.

Keystrokes	Display	
10-0p-17	159.99	
GT0-136-CLR	0	
Pgm-12-SBR-999	Flashing	0.
R/S	Flashing	0.00
DMS	Flashing	0
LRN	136 43	
Ins	136 43	
Ins	136.43	
I RN-RST-CLR	0	

Note that two inserts were required since the ones digit of the command at location 136 of the firmware was less than 4. You do not need to return the partitioning. The program will do that for you.

- 3. Enter the starting year (YYYY) and press A. See "YYYY." returned in the display.
- 4. Enter the number of months to be printed. For a two year printout you enter 24. Press R/S. See a "O." in the display.
- 5. Enter the starting month (1 to 12) and press R/S. After a few seconds the printout will begin. When the printout is complete the calculator will stop with a "O." in the display. Since the printout occurs in "fast mode" operation cannot be interrupted, even with R/S or RST.
- 6. For other calendars iterate steps 3 through 5.

0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 10.00000251331 8.000000211714 10.00000301335 9.000000133335 10.0000035413117 10.000035413117 10.000035413117 10.0000321537 9.00000313242 9.00000313242 10.00000321537 9.000000313242 10.00000321537 9.000000313242 10.00000321537 9.000000313242 10.000000300000 1.01430003700 1.01430003700 1.01430003700 1.010000000000 2.010000000000 2.010000000000	1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789 000000001111111122222222223333333334444444445555555555

A One Minute 23 Second Calendar - (cont)

Program Listing - Banks 1 and 2

000 61 GTU 080 64 64 001 03 03 03 081 42 STU 002 24 24 082 08 08 08 003 68 NUP 083 43 RCL 004 68 NUP 084 05 05 005 44 SUM 005 55 + 006 00 00 00 086 04 4 007 61 GTU 087 55 + 008 03 03 088 22 INV 009 18 18 089 59 INT 010 61 GTU 090 22 INV 011 03 03 091 67 E9 011 03 03 091 67 E9 012 18 18 099 093 91 91 010 64 GTU 090 22 INV 011 03 03 091 67 E9 015 44 SUM 095 28 28 016 00 00 096 02 2 017 61 GTU 097 05 5 + 018 03 03 098 55 + 019 07 07 099 22 INV 020 44 SUM 095 28 28 016 00 00 006 02 2 017 61 GTU 097 05 5 + 019 07 07 099 22 INV 021 00 00 101 22 INV 021 00 00 101 22 INV 022 61 GTU 102 67 E9 023 02 02 103 03 03 024 96 96 104 91 91 025 61 GTU 105 04 4 026 02 02 106 95 = 027 96 96 104 91 91 028 68 NUP 109 67 E9 029 68 NUP 109 67 E9 030 44 SUM 110 03 03 031 00 00 111 92 92 032 61 GTU 112 69 UP 033 02 02 113 38 38 38 034 89 89 114 61 GTU 097 033 02 02 113 38 07 034 89 89 114 61 GTU 097 035 76 LBL 115 03 03 036 11 R 16 92 92 037 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 038 03 03 118 25 25 039 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 038 03 03 03 118 25 25 039 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 041 91 R/S 121 01 01 042 42 STU 122 61 GTU 01 043 06 06 123 00 00 044 07 7 124 78 78 78 045 69 UP 035 76 LBL 115 03 03 036 11 R 18 115 03 03 037 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 038 03 03 03 118 25 25 039 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 038 03 03 03 118 25 25 039 42 STU 119 07 78 045 69 UP 125 98 ADV 040 17 17 126 25 CLR 047 25 CLR 127 92 RTN 044 191 R/S 121 01 01 044 07 7 124 78 78 78 045 69 UP 050 91 R/S 130 58 FTX 130 58 FT 056 00 00 136 92 92 136 12 18 050 91 R/S 130 58 FTX 130 68 FT 056 00 00 136 92 92 136 12 18 050 91 R/S 130 58 FTX 130 68 FT 056 00 00 136 92 92 136 12 17 052 01 01 132 60 DEG 132 60 DEG 132 60 061 91 R/S 130 60 06 123 00 00 149 97 058 03 2 X:T 138 24 24 138 61 GT 064 53 (209 00 00 289 73 RC* 369 06 05
--	--

A CALENDAR PRINTING PROGRAM FOR THE TI-66/PC-200 - Dave Leising

Due to the 16 column limitation of the PC-200 a calendar in the conventional US format cannot be obtained. Therefore, the European format with weeks aligned vertically was used. Extensive utilization of digit-serial operations on registers was necessary to overcome the memory limitations of the TI-66. As a result the program is very slow. A one year printout requires one hour and forty-six seconds.

The program listing appears on the next page. Tricks to watch out for when entering the program are: (1) the short form addressing used at locations 008, 029, 067, 073, 079 and 435 (see V9N1P8 for a discussion of short form addressing); and (2) the 13-digit constants in registers 07 and 08 which are:

Register 07 0.424343443434

Register 08 0.2012212421032

The program runs in 439.08 partitioning. To execute the program key in a year YYYY and press A. Then sit back and wait. A sample full-size one year calendar assembled from the PC-200 printout follows.

SMTUTES	1.1984 1 8 15 22 29 S 2 9 16 23 30 M 3 10 17 24 31 T 4 11 18 25 W 5 12 19 26 T 6 13 20 27 F 7 14 21 28 S	2 9 16 23 30 3 10 17 24 4 11 18 25 5 12 19 26 6 13 20 27	7.1984 S 1 8 15 22 29 M 2 9 16 23 30 T 3 10 17 24 31 W 4 11 18 25 T 5 12 19 26 F 6 13 20 27 S 7 14 21 28	10.1984 S 7 14 21 28 M 1 8 15 22 29 T 2 9 16 23 30 W 3 10 17 24 31 T 4 11 18 25 F 5 12 19 26 S 6 13 20 27
SMTHTES	2.1984 5 12 19 26 S 6 13 20 27 M 7 14 21 28 T 1 8 15 22 29 W 2 9 16 23 T 3 10 17 24 F 4 11 18 25 S	7 14 21 28 1 8 15 22 29 2 9 16 23 30 3 10 17 24 31	8.1984 S 5 12 19 26 M 6 13 20 27 T 7 14 21 28 M 1 8 15 22 29 T 2 9 16 23 30 F 3 10 17 24 31 S 4 11 18 25	11.1984 S
SMTUTES	3.1984 4 11 18 25 S 5 12 19 26 M 6 13 20 27 T 7 14 21 28 W 1 8 15 22 29 T 2 9 16 23 30 F 3 10 17 24 31 S		9.1984 S 2 9 16 23 30 M 3 10 17 24 T 4 11 18 25 W 5 12 19 26 T 6 13 20 27 F 7 14 21 28 S1 8 15 22 29	12.1984 S 2 9 16 23 30 M 3 10 17 24 31 T 4 11 18 25 W 5 12 19 26 T 6 13 20 27 F 7 14 21 26 S1 8 15 22 29

HELP WANTED - Information as to where I might obtain the Math/ Utilities and EE modules, and the Programming Aids Specialty Pakette. Write to:

Ken Farr 732 Garden City Drive Monroeville, PA 15146

A Calendar Printing Program for the TI-66/PC-200 - (cont)

Program Listing:

- L	-1 2 - 1	•										
000	LBL	075	5 D	150	STB	225	INTG	300	-	375	€.	
										376	D.	*
001		076		151		226	INV	301	XZT	377	Ã.	
002		077		152		227	SUM	302	=			
003	INV	07,8		153	04	228	04	303	STD	378	D.	
004	STF	079		154		229	×	304	05	379	CLR	
005		080		. 155		230	RETH	305	DP	380	Ε.	
										381	Ā.	
006		081		156		231	~ (306	35			
007		082		157		232	•	307	DP	382	E	
008	13	083		158	0	233	4	308	25	383	BP	
009	STF	084	· ·	159		234	×	309	CLR	384	01	
010		085		160		235		310	STO	385	A.	
										386	В.	
011		086		161		236	04	311	03			
012		087		162		237	+	312	6	387	CLR	
013	CLR	088	LBL	163	3	238	2	313	STO	388	E.	
014		089		164		239	•	314	04	389	E	
015		090		165		240	3	315	RCL	390	BP	
										391	02	
016	LBL	091	02	166		241)	316	05			
017	8.	092	RCL	167	-	242	INTG	317	STD	392	Α.	
018	ъ.	093	07	168	03	243	+/-	318	06	393	₽.	
019	С.	094	SUM	169	+	244	+	319	RCL	394	CLR	
020	Ē,	095	0.2	170	XIT	245		320	06	395	E.	
		096		171	+					396	Ē.	
021	RETH		4			246	RCL	321	XZT			
022	LBL	097	INV	172	3	247	03	322	RCL	397	E	
023	С.	098	LDG	173	1	248	÷	323	02	398	ÐΡ	
024	XIT	099	INV	174	×	249	4	324	INTG	399	03	
		100	PROD	175	ROL	250	<u>`</u>	264		400	₽.	
025	8 _							325	INY			
026	XZT	101	00	176	04	251	INTG	326	X≩T	401	CLR	
027	INV	102	RCL	177	-	252	-	327	03	402	Ε.	
028	X≟T	103	08	178	3	253	(328	37	403	Α.	
029	32	104	STD	179	1	254		329	XZT	404	D.	
							<u>:</u>				_	
030	+	105	01	180	+	255	7	330	CP	405	CP_	
031	2	106	1	181	3	256	5 -	331	INV	406	X = T	
032	+	107	0	182	X≓T	257	+	332	X≩T	407	Û 4	
033	4	108	PROD	183	RCL	258	(333	03	408	14	
		109	02	184	04						ċ.	
034	0					259	RCL	334	37	409		
035	=	110	RCL	185	X≜T	260	03	335	x≠T	410	Ε.	
036	RETN	111	02	186	02	261	÷	336	LBL	411	GTD	
037	LBL	112	INTG	187	31	262	1	337	CP	412	04	
0.38	E.	113	INV	188	OP	263	ō	338	X≠T	413	23	
				189	33							
039	XZT	114	SUM			264	0	339	×	414	INV	
040	1	115	02	190	GTD	265)	340		415	IFF	
041	0	116	CP	191	62	266	INTG	341	0	416	01	
042	Ŏ	117	X≖T	192	45	267	×	342	1	417	0.4	
	-	118	56	193	LBL	268					21	
043	PROD						<u>:</u>	343	PROD	418		
044	Ũ 4	119	+	194	D.	269	7	344	03	419	С.	
045	CLR	120	2	1/95	1	270	5	345	=	420	LBL	
046	XZT	121	7	196	0	271)	346	SUM	421	CLR	
047	SUM	122	=	197	PRBD	272	INTG	347	03	422	E	
		123	SUM	198	03	273	=					
048	04							348	7	423	E	
049	RETH	124	02	199	RCL	274	STB	349	INV	424	۵P	
050	LBL	125	X≠T	200	0.3	275	04	35C	SUM	425	04	
051	Ε	126	DΡ	201	INTG	276	+/-	351	0.6	426	D۴	
052	ČLR	127	20	202	INV	277	÷	352	DSZ	427	05	
		128	ROL	203	SUM	278	7					
053	ExC			204	03			35 3	04	428	GTD	
054	Ű 4	129	0.0			279	=	354	03	429	63	
055	RETH	130	ADV	205	RETN	280	INTG	35 5	19	430	0.7	
056	ADV	131	FIX	206	LBL	281	×	356	E	431	ROL	
057	ADV	132	0.4	207	D	282	7	357		432	02	
			PRINT		×				1			e e
058	ADV	133				283	+	358	0	433	IHT	u
859	R/S	134	INV.	209	RCL	284	RCL	359	0	434	INV	
060	LBL	135	FIX:	210	0.0	285	04	360	PROD	435	SUM	
061	A	136	INV	211	=	286	=	361	01	436	02	
		137	INTG	212	INV	287	CP				GT D	
062	STO			213	INTG			362	ROL	437		
063	00	138	+			288	INV	363	01	438	01	
064	•	139	X≢T	214	CP	289	X = T	364	INTG	439	02	
065	Ö	140	+	215	RETN	290	02	365	CP			
	ŏ	141	RCL	216	LBL	291	93				0.	-
066		142	00	217	C	292		366	X=T		0.	
067	2						7	367	04		õ.	
068	5	143	INTG	218	1	293	-	368	31			-
069	D	144	×	219	0	294	1	369	INV		0.	
070	X=T	145	C	220	0	295	=	370	SUM		ø.	
		146	4	221	=	296	XZT				0.	
071	89			222	STD			371	01		ō.	
072	•	147	INV			297	RCL	372	+	494	34344	
073	Û	148	LDG	223	04	298	02	373	3			
074	1	149	=	224	INY	299	INTG	374	=	. 201	22124	
	-							01 7				

ANOTHER TEST OF PRECISION — Fred Gruenberber's "Computer Recreations" in the April 1984 issue of Scientific American states: "If you have a calculator with a key for squaring a number, try this: enter the number 1.0000001 and press the square key 27 times. The procedure is equivalent to raising the initial number to the 134,217,728th power. ... The problem is designed to reveal the precision level of the machine." A table is presented for an assortment of calculators and computers. None of the machines listed got even 7 digits correct.

Editor"s Note: For nearly every machine there are several ways to solve the problem. For example, in BASIC language the problem may be solved as:

Method A	Method B	Method C		
10 A = 1.0000001 20 FOR I = 1 TO 27 30 A = A^2 40 NEXT I 50 PRINT A	10 A = 1.0000001 20 FOR I = 1 TO 27 30 A = A*A 40 NEXT I 50 PRINT A	10 A = 1.0000001 20 B = 134217728 30 A = A^B 40 PRINT A 50 END		
60 END	60 END			

On most calculators the equivalent of methods A and B will yield identical results, but the $y^{\frac{1}{4}}$ function which is equivalent to method C will return a different, and usually more accurate result. On many computers the results vary widely with method; for example, the BASIC on the Commodore 64 and Apple II+. Results from the three methods from a representative set of machines are:

Machine	Method A	Method B	Method C
Exact	674530.4707	674630.4707	674530.4707
Model 100	674529.41305068	674529.41305068	674530.47074049
TI-66	6745 20.6067381	674520.6067381	674530.4707400
HP-11, etc.	674494.0561	674494.0561	674530.4707
CC-40	6745 30.31804225	674530.31804225	674621.4634954
BA-55	6744 32 . 82060	674432.82060	674530.92317
TI-57	674432.8204	674432.8204	674530.9232
TI-59	674520.6052712	674520.6052712	674530.9234109
Color Computer	713658.879	643571.305	665348.188
C-64/Apple	728339.418	22723.9709	665348.189
TI-5511/57LCD	6744 32 . 8206	674432.8206	660003.2248

POWERS & ROOTS FOR TI-66 AND TI-58/59 - Myer Boland discovered that the TI-66 obtains values for powers and roots which are slightly different from those obtained from the TI-58C/59. A single example will illustrate the differences. Key in the following sequence:

2 Y X 3 = INV INT

Both the TI-66 and TI-59 calculators will display an 8 at the equals sign. The TI-59 will display 1. -12 at the INV INT. The TI-66 will display a one. The difference is that at the equal sign the TI-59 value is slightly greater than eight, while at the same point the TI-66 value is slightly less by 1.2 -11.

SIN(A) = COS(90-A) ON THE TI-66 - Palmer Hanson. I have found that the TI-66 delivers identical values for sin(A) and cos(90-A). That is not the case for the TI-58C/59, a phenomenon that was discussed at length on V8N3P15 and on page C-1 of Personal Programming. The TI-55II and the TI-57LCD show the same consistency between sin(A) and cos(90-A) as the TI-66.

MORE TRIGONOMETRIC ANOMALIES FOR THE TI-66 - Palmer Hanson. In V2N5P4 of 52 Notes Karl Hoppe reported trigonometric anomalies in the SR-52 for very small arguments:

"... attempts to take the sin, cos, or tan of a number whose absolute value is between zero and 3.6 D-97 in degree mode (or 6.283185307180 D-99 in radian mode) result in an error condition. This may be due to the creation of underflowed intermediate results by the trig firmware."

The same anomalies occur with the TI-58C/TI-59. My tests show that for the argument 3.6E-97 in the degree mode, or for 6.283185307180E-99 = $(2\pi)E-99$ in radian mode, either the sine or the tangent return the value $(2\pi)E-99$ and the cosine returns a "1", all without an error indication. With either input argument reduced by 1 in the least significant digit, that is 3.59999999999E-97 in degree mode or 6.283185307179E-99 in radian mode, the sine or tangent still return the value $(2\pi)E-99$ and the cosine returns a "1", but now the display is flashing. Exactly the same results including the flashing display will occur for smaller arguments all the way down to 1EE-99.

The TI-66 has a similar anomaly. In degree mode with an argument of 4.5E-97 the sine and tangent will return the value (47/4)E-98. With any smaller argument the sine and tangent return an "Error" when called from the keyboard. In a program with the smaller arguments the sine and tangent return 0. 00 with an error indication, but program execution does not stop. The cosine function on the TI-66 returns a value of one with no error for even the smallest possible argument of 1E-99.

My HP-11 does not generate error conditions when the trigonometric functions are called with very small arguments. With the argument (180/ π) E-99 and any smaller arguments the sine and tangent functions return a zero. With an argument of (180/ π + 0.00000001)E-99 the sine and tangent return 1E-99.

ROBERT PRINS BRAINTEASER - Starting from the turnon condition you are to create a flashing one (1) in the display. The last key you press from the keyboard should be CLR, but you are not allowed to use GTO or SBR before it. To make things a little harder, the only functions or keys you may use are 2nd, INV, CLR, LRN, RCL, STO, SUM, PRD, GTO, and R/S, but you may use R/S only once.

Editor's Note: Robert added "The problem has a solution. You can find it at the end of this letter". But at the end of the letter he wrote "I am not going to give you the solution before the problem has been published". So far my only solution involves some "sea-lawyering" as to the definition of "before". Happy puzzling.

PRINTER PAPER - Lem Matteson writes: "When I started through my stack of old programs I found out why you had asked about sources of good printing paper. I found that many of my newer program listings had sections that had faded out. Late in 1979 I had bought ten packs of TI paper for \$70.00. That lasted me a long time. Programs recorded on that paper are brownish yellow now but the printing has not faded at all ..."

Editor's Note: My experience has been the same. Printouts obtained in 1979/1980 with old style paper which had a brownish cast are still readable, and the paper has not been attacked by the glue used to attach the printouts to program forms. But some newer paper which showed somewhat better contrast at the time of printing has faded in a year or so, and the glue seems to have caused discoloration. That is why I was so pleased to find a source of the old style paper (V9N1P2).

CARD READER CLEANING - Paul Sperry reports that use of the CCL-144 cleaning strip was successful in clearing problems with reading and writing magnetic cards. He identified the solvent as alcohol. He forwarded a brochure from Texwipe in which a solution of 91% isopropyl alcohol and 9% ionized water is used for cleaning magnetic components. Paul and others have suggested that the cleaning strip may be reused by supplying your own solution.

Editors Note: So far I have provided seventeen cleaning strips to members who reported magnetic card read/write problems. I have had no reports of failure to clear the problem. At the \$2.00 member price that is a low cost repair.

PRINT HEAD CLEANING - Palmer Hanson. I have one PC-100 which has had long-standing print head problems. No amount of cleaning using the procedure on page VI-12 of Personal Programming or the one in V5N3P3 would clear the problem. I had tried running cleaning cards saturated in alcohol through the printer with the ADV key with little success. One day when I was particularly frustrated with the problem I remembered the "burnishing" effect claimed for the CCL-144 cleaning card. I found a piece of crocus cloth, cut a strip to the width of the printer paper, and passed it through the printer using the ADV key. No more print head problems!!! I would need more experience with this process before recommending it as a general cure-all. I discussed this with Maurice Swinnen. He says others have had success with a strip of the material used for polishing defects in Plexiglas. He also indicated that HP sells a cleaning card for use with their printers. I will report further developments in this area in future issues.

A TAPE DRIVE FOR THE CC-40 ?

An article on page 10 of the February 27, 1984 issue of <u>Electronic News</u> reports that

"Texas Instruments is contemplating a second effort at integrating Entrepo's Wafer Tape Drive systems into its CC-40 LCD-style portable computer. ..."

The "Inside Track" column on page 112 of the March 26, 1984 issue of Infoworld says we should

"Look for TI to bring out a new version of its hand-held computer. This time it will work with cassettes rather than the defunct wafer tape it promised buyers. ..."

I have received no releases from TI. We will just have to wait and see.

BACK ISSUES OF 52-NOTES - Richard Vanderburgh reports that he will continue to provide copies of back issues of 52 Notes at a price of \$1.50 each in the US, and \$2.00 each abroad. Write to:

Richard Vanderburgh 9459 Taylorsville Road Huber Heights OH 45424

The issues from Volume 2 Number 6 through Volume 4 Number 3, a total of 22 issues and 130 typewritten pages, are of primary interest to 58/59 users. The earlier issues make interesting reading, and some of the material is applicable to the TI-58C/59; e.g., the trigonometric anomaly for small arguments discussed on page 12 was reported for the SR-52 in Volume 2 Number 5, just one issue before coverage of the TI-59 started. The anomaly was not discussed relative to the TI-59 in subsequent issues of 52 Notes, and has not been previously discussed in TI PPC Notes.

SOLID STATE SOFTWARE MODULE AND MAGNETIC CARD AVAILABILITY

In V9N2P4 member J. M. Gallego offered magnetic cards and Solid State Software modules for sale. He was able to obtain some more material. His inventory as of April 1 was:

- 149 Boxes of 40 Blank Magnetic Cards with Carrying Case
 - 10 Navigation modules
 - 12 Aviation modules
 - 5 Leisure modules
- 6 Real Estate and Investment modules
- 15 Securities Analysis modules

He will sell them for sixteen dollars (\$16.00) for each module, and eight dollars (\$8.00) for each box of magnetic cards while they last. Shipping is included. U.S. members should send money orders only to:

Q. Jose M. Gallego 250 Quintard Avenue, Apt. 96 Chula Vista CA 92011/4924.

Members from other countries should write to make appropriate arrangements.

MULTIPLICATION MAY NOT BE COMMUTATIVE WITH THE TI-59 - George Thomson

This quirk is described in W. Kahan's paper "Mathematics Written in Sand ..." at the foot of page 14 in Proceedings of the Statistical Computing Section, American Statistical Association, 1983, pp. 12-26:

"Multiplication is neither commutative nor monotonic on the TI-59. Try e x $\gamma\gamma' - \gamma\gamma'$ x e = "

You will see 2.8E-11 in the display, not the expected zero. The problem is not unique to that pair of values. Kahan did not further elaborate so I made a brief investigation and discovered what was going on but not the reason. Keep in mind that multiplier x multiplicand gives the product. The exact products below were obtained by hand calculation from the stored data.

RULE: When two 13-digit numbers, A and B, are multiplied on the TI-59 the 13-digit output will be within a few units in the 13th digit of the exact answer to the product of A and B', where B' is equal to the multiplicand (B) truncated to 12-digits. All 13-digits of the multiplier are used.

In other words, in most cases the result corresponds to multiplying together a 13-digit number by a twelve-digit number. If we calculate A \times B and B \times A then either one or both or neither of the two answers will be correct to within a unit in the 13th-digit. Try this easy example:

2 SQRT STO 02	1.4142 1386 2373	A in Register 02
- 3 EE 12 +/- = STO 12	1.4142 1386 2370	A' in Register 12
3 SQRT STO 03	1.7320 5080 7568	B in Register 03

1.7320 5080 7560

B' in Register 13

The exact products of the stored data as calculated by hand are

- 8 EE 12 +/- = STO 13

A x	B	=	2.4494	8974	2781	77
Ах	В,	E	2.4494	8974	2770	45
Вх	A'	=	2.4494	8974	2776	57

On the TI-59: RCL 02 x RCL 03 = 2.4494 8974 2770 which is the same as A x B' but not A x B.

On the TI-59: RCL 03 x RCL 02 = 2.449489742776 which is the same as B x A' but not B x A.

Neither answer is the correct value of 2.4494 8974 2782 .

(For Kahan's e x π case, e x π is within 1 in the 13th place since the 13th digit of π on the TI-59 is a zero, but π x e is wrong.)

Multiplication May Not Be Commutative with the TI-59 - (cont)

While it is true that TI only promises 10-digit accuracy and calls the other three digits guard digits, small errors in arithmetic ramify in an alarming way in repetitive calculations such as matrix inversions. To be blunt, I think that this quirk plays hell with all of our discussions about squeezing high accuracy from the TI-59. At the moment we do not know the rules governing the multiplication process. The basic patent, U. S. Patent 4,153,937, May 8, 1979 is of no help since it refers all references to the arithmetic unit back to another TI patent: U.S. Patent 3,900,722, August 19, 1975...Maybe some electronically minded reader can tell us all about it.

Editors Note: George Thomson writes "...this quirk plays hell with all of our discussions about squeezing high accuracy from the TI-59." I am not quite so sure. I suspect that the TI-59 will still reign supreme where chain calculations are concerned. There is even a workaround which holds the promise of delivering better TI-59 performance than we have seen in the past.

Kahan also reported that on the HP-15C the elements of the inverse of the 8 \times 8 Hilbert are good to "roughly three significant decimals". That is not very good with respect to the results from ML-02 on the TI-59 which are good to roughly 5 - 6 significant decimals. My tests show that multiplication is commutative on the HP-11. Consider the intermediate results for e \times :

Exact Product

8.53973 42226 73986

TI-59 e x

8.53973 42226 73

TI-59 x e

8.53973 42226 45

 $HP-11 e \times \pi = \pi \times e$

8.53973 4222

An error has already appeared in the least sigificant digit of the HP-11 solution — it should have been a 3. Even the poorer of the two TI-59 results is 24 times closer to the exact result. As I noted in V8N2P3 TI-59 owners who want to make additional comparisons but do not have a ten digit RPN calculator can obtain the equivalent ten digit results through judicious use of EE-INV-EE to round intermediate results to the display and discard the guard digits. Thus the sequence

Pi EE INV EE x 1 INV Ln EE INV EE =

will yield the same result as the HP-11, and the result will be the same for $e \times \pi$. The HP-41 results are typically the same as the HP-11.

This "non-commutative multiply" quirk seems to be inherent in all the TI calculators manufactured at about the same time as the TI-59 was released. The good news is that the quirk does not seem to be present in the later TI calculators which use LCD displays such as the TI-35, BA-55, TI-55II, TI-57LCD and TI-66. For the TI-66:

 $e \times \pi' = \pi' \times e = 8.53973 42226 61$

The error is a factor of 56 smaller than the HP-11 error. Cursory checks show commutative multiplication on the CC-40, the Model 100 and the Color Computer.

A WORKAROUND FOR THE NON-COMMUTATIVE MULTIPLY ON THE TI-59 - Palmer Hanson

With many others I had not thought to test the commutative multiply property for the TI-59; but when working on the 13 Digit Speedy Factor Finder problem (V8N4P15) I had tested the divide process quite carefully. What if we were to replace the non-commutative multiply function with two divisions? In George Thomsons example with $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{3}$:

Exac	t pr	odu	ct		2.4494 8974 2781 77		
RCL	02	×	RCL	03	=		2.4494 8974 2770
RCL	03	×	RCL	02	=		2.4494 8974 2776
RCL	02	/	RCL	03	1/x	=	2.4494 8974 2781
RCL	03	/	RCL	02	1/X	=	2.4494 8974 2781

Using the double divide for that problem the "multiplication" is commutative and correct in the truncated sense. For the e \times π problem:

Exact Product 8.53973 42226 73986 ...

Pi / 1 INV Ln 1/x = 8.53973 42226 73

1 INV Ln / Pi 1/x = 8.53973 42226 74

where one answer is correct in the truncated sense and the other is correct in the rounded sense, and the results are not commutative by 1 in the thirteenth digit. So far I have not found a "product" using two divides which fails to be commutative by more than 2 in the thirteenth digit. In any case the double divide technique seems to substantially reduce the error in the "product".

If the double divide technique is really superior then an appropriate test might be George Thomson's 7 x 7 sub-Hilbert problem (V8N6P18). You will recall that I was bothered with the TI-59 results. The CC-40 solution was more than two orders of magnitude better, even though the documentation indicates that the CC-40 method and the TI-59 ML-02 method were the same. (Tests show that the ML-02 and CC-40 methods are not equivalent--that will be discussed in a later issue). But TI-59 solutions not using ML-02 did little better. Of course one must download ML-02 to replace multiplies with double divides. Instead I modified two of the other solutions for simultaneous equations, the Nick/Ristanovic "Gauss" method from V7N6P13, and Robert Prins' improvement on the Probrambiten method from V9N1P16. Each program had only two multiplies to replace with double divides. A CE was added after each 1/X to avoid error indications when a zero is involved. Then adjustment of the absolute addresses yielded working The instructions for use are not changed except that the 9 Op 17 partitioning must be set from the keyboard, and the Nick/Ristanovic program requires a Cms from the keyboard before starting.

The results were nothing short of astounding. For the 7×7 sub-Hilbert problem the results from the programs using double divides were two orders of magnitude better than from the same programs with multiplies.

A Workaround for the Non-commutative Multiply on the TI-59 - (cont)

In the results presented in V8N6P19 I truncated the results at the level which defined the relative error. That led to confusion on the part of several readers. In the following table all digits of the results are presented.

	With Normal	Multiply	With Double Divide			
Exact	Prins/	Nick/	Prins/	Nick/		
Solution	Programbiten	Ristanovic	Programbiten	Ristanovic		
56.	55. 92331553138	56.00755936628	55.99920734492	56.00006963441		
-1512.	-1510. 227621269	-1512.173201797	-1511.980680403	-1512.000924520		
12600.	12587. 09108515	12601.25359143	12599.85456132	12600.00305157		
-46200.	-46157. 96724918	-46204.06225137	-46199.51558684	-46200.00068443		
83160.	83091. 96322716	83166.55034060	83159.20278400	83159.98916928		
-72072.	-72018. 43333244	-72077.14114652	-72071.36429214	-72071.98379276		
24024.	24007. 64247141	24025.56586139	24023.80389959	24023.99309676		
Max Error	1.37E-3	1.35E-4	1.42E-5	1.24E-6		

James A. Walters of Smyrna, Georgia, who uses HP products and is a subscriber to the PPC Calculator Journal, has proposed another method for evaluating the quality of the solution. If the solution vector is multiplied by the original matrix, then the result should be the original vector, in this case the unity vector. Any difference is a measure of the error in the solution. This is the same method by which we evaluate the quality of a least squares fit. I wrote a short program to check the solution. The results, vertically in-line with each corresponding solution above, are:

Due to truncation in the calculator, even the exact solution yields some error. This particular check was obtained for each row of the matrix by dividing each element of the solution vector by the exact integer inverse of the corresponding element from the matrix. Somewhat different results can be obtained by multiplying by the reciprocals, or by a double divide process. The order of magnitude of the errors stays the same. The relative error of the elements of the solution vector when compared with the exact solution decreases by two orders of magnitude through use of the double divide technique, there is only a slight increase in the error as measured against the input vector—at least for this particular test.

Clearly we need more investigation. But results so far suggest that Mr. Kahan's report of the non-commutative multiply anomaly may have led directly to the discovery of a technique for obtaining even better results from our TI-58's and TI-59's.

A Workaround for the Non-commutative Multiply on the TI-59 - (cont)

Listing for the Prins/Programbiten Program with Double Divides , 120 22 INV 97 DSZ 43 RCL 92 RTN ១ឧ០ 22 24 CE 35 1/X OΡ INV 69 DP STO SUM 24 CE 74 SM* DSZ OP 42 STO 69 DP 42 STO - 0.3 SUM กก DSZ 42 STD 69 DP 43 RCL 43 RCL 170 ΠP 43 RCL PRT STO 43 RCL RC* 97 DSZ 42 STD R/S 23 PRT ΠP 214 72 ST* PRT 43 RCL SUM 055 135 69 OP 69 DP DΡ 43 RCL 42 STD **59 INT** 0 42 STD DSZ DSZ 42 STD 63 EX* 42 STD 63 EX* XIT 44 SUM 03 03 97 DSZ 69 DP 69 DP 69 DP 00 43 RCL 81 RST 29 CP SM* 03 03 43 RCL 63 EX* nΩ n ΕQ SUM ō nn 93 -00 22 23 OP Ω ō 76 LBL 43 RCL INV 64 PD* 44 SUM 63 EX* SUM CMS 44 SUM DΡ .03 42 STD 23 23 97 DSZ 32 X:T 55 ÷ 69 DP 43 RCL 151 152 72 0.1 43 RCL STO PRT 32 XIT 73 RC* XIT Ω7 99 PRT 91 R/S 69 DP 91 R/S PRT 97 99 PRT 42 STD 43, RCL 17X 34 FX 05 05 73 RC* DSZ 94 +/-χz ÷ INT CE STD 0.3886 STF 73 RC* 44 SUM

Listing for the Nick/Ristanovic Program with Double Divides

					_		
000 001 002 003 004 005 007 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 020 021 022 023 024 025 029 030 031 033	76 LBL 103 - 1 = + R7X + EL44 + 5 = 105NL R 04 + 17X + EL44 + 5 = 105NL R 04 + 173 + 174 + 174 + 175 +	0412 0412 0444 0445 0446 0447 0450 0450 0553 0556 0557 0559 0666 0667 0677 0773	29 CP 69 CP 20 R COO 42 R COO 42 S TO OT	080 58 58 081 61 GTD 082 01 01 083 34 84 084 19 D' 085 43 RCL 086 00 00 087 10 E' 088 73 05 090 32 X;T 091 43 RCL 092 01 01 093 10 E' 094 32 X;T 095 63 EX* 096 05 05 097 32 X;T 095 63 EX* 096 05 05 097 32 X;T 102 72 ST* 103 05 05 104 69 GP 105 34 34 106 97 DSZ 107 02 02 108 00 00 109 35 85 110 43 RCL 111 00 00 112 42 STD 113 04 04	120 43 RCL 121 03 03 122 10 E' 123 43 RCL 124 01 01 125 22 INV 126 64 PD* 127 05 05 128 69 UP 129 35 35 130 97 USZ 131 02 02 132 01 133 23 23 134 32 HIR 135 18 18 136 42 STU 137 01 01 138 43 RCL 139 01 01 140 32 X:T 141 43 RCL 142 00 00 143 67 Eq 144 01 01 145 30 80 146 42 STU 147 04 04 148 32 X:T 149 10 E' 150 73 RC* 151 05 05 152 32 HIR 153 06 06	160 55 ÷ 161 82 HIR 162 16 16 163 35 1 = 164 95 = 165 24 CE 166 32 X;T 167 43 RCL 168 01 01 169 10 E' 170 32 X;T 171 22 INV 172 74 SM* 173 05 05 174 69 0P 175 34 34 176 97 DSZ 177 02 02 178 01 01 179 55 55 180 97 DSZ 181 01 01 182 03 01 183 38 38 184 82 HIR 185 18 18 186 32 X;T 187 43 RCL 188 00 00 189 22 INV 190 77 GE 191 12 B 192 22 INV 193 58 FIX	200 91 R/S 201 69 GP 202 21 21 203 06 6 204 75 - 205 43 RCL 206 01 01 207 95 = 208 82 HIR 210 17 17 211 65 × 213 22 INV 214 59 INT 215 69 GP 216 10 10 217 85 + 219 95 = 220 22 INV 214 SUM 221 44 SUM 222 01 01 01 223 61 GTO 224 01 01 225 98 98 226 76 LBL 227 11 A 228 37 P/R 229 06 6 230 48 EXC 231 23 72 ST*
031	42 STD	071	69 OP	111 00 00	151 05 05	191 12 B	231 01 01
033	69 OP	073	43 RCL	113 04 04	153 06 06	193 58 FIX	233 72 ST*
034 035	22 22 92 RTN	074 075	01 01 32 X:T	114 10 E' 115 73 RC*	154 19 D* 155 43 RCL	194 06 6 195 42 STD	234 01 01 235 69 DF
036 037	76 LBL 12 B	07 <u>6</u> 077	32 HIR 18 18	116 05 05 117 42 STD	156 00 00 157 10 E'	196 01 01 197 25 CLR	236 31 21 237 61 GTD
038 039	58 FIX 08 08	078 079	?7 GE 00 00	118 01 01 119 19 D'	158 73 RC* 159 05 05	198 73 RC* 199 01 01	238 02 02 239 32 32

POLYNOMIAL REGRESSION WITH VARIANCE - Gene Friel. This program is an extension of Thomas Wysmuller's Polynomial Regression Program which appeared in V4N6P6/7 of PPX Exchange. The program provides a least squares polynomial curve fit of the form

$$Y = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 \dots a_n X^n$$

where the highest degree may be selected to be from 1 to 7. The modifications provide for magnetic card entry of the data used for headings and annotation, and an additional program which provides for calculation of variance, but only by reentering the data pairs. The variance is calculated using the formula

calculation of variance, but only by reentering the data pairs. The variance is calculated using the formula
$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i - a_o - a_i X_i^2 - a_i X_i^2 - a_i X_i^2)}}$$

$$(N - n - 1)$$

where N is the number of data pairs and n is the degree of polynomial selected.

Record the four banks of program A on magnetic cards using the startup partitioning. The program changes to the running partitioning at initialization. Record bank 1 of program B using partitioning 10 Op 17.

User Instructions:

- 1. With the Master Library module installed, enter all four card sides of program A.
- 2. Enter the highest degree of polynomial you expect to evaluate (from 1 to 7) and press E'. The printer will document that decision with the notation "HIGHEST PERMISSIBLE DEGREE OF REGRESSION" followed by the degree selected. If you do not perform this step the program will select degree 7 as the default mode. Selection of the lowest possible degree will save operator time.
- Proceed to enter data.
- a. Enter the independent variable (X) and press A. The entered value is printed with the annotation "X".
- b. Enter the dependent variable (Y) and press B. The entered value is printed with the annotation "Y". The calculator will run for some time as all the sums required for the least squares solution are updated, and then print the total number of data pairs entered so far with the annotation "N", and stop with the same value in the display. The approximate run times versus highest degree of polynomial selected in step 2 are:

Degree	Run Time	(seconds)
1	6	
2	10	
3	13	
4	16	
5	20	
6	25	
7	29	

Polynomial Regression with Variance - (cont)

From the table you can see that it really is important to select the lowest degree possible to save operator time.

c. Repeat steps 3.a and 3.b until all data pairs have been entered. The number of data pairs should not exceed 35 if you plan to use program B to find the variance.

4. Error Correction:

- a. To delete the pair just entered, press C. The printer will document the decision with the annotation "LAST PAIR DELETED" and a printout of the reduced number of data pairs.
- b. To delete a pair that had been entered earlier, enter the X value to be deleted and press A, enter the Y value to be deleted and press B. The printout will be the same as for a normal entry. When the calculator stops press C. This deletes the erroneous data pair which was just entered on purpose. The printout will be the same as for a normal deletion (step 4.a). When the calculator stops, press C again. This will delete the erroneous data entered earlier. The decision will be documented with the printout "LAST PAIR DELETED", not an accurate description of what happened, and a printout of the reduced number of data pairs.
- 5. Record the accumulated sums for future use by writing banks 3 and 4 on a blank magnetic card. You only need to do this step if you are planning to try more than one degree of polynomial. This will avoid re-entry of all the data to accumulate the sums again.
- 6. Solve: Enter the degree of polynomial desired and press E. The calculator will print "DEGREE OF REGRESSION" followed by the degree selected. The printout will include the accumulated sums and the determinant, followed by the regression coefficients. There is no annotation of the regression coefficients. You recognize them as the last group of values printed, with a printed first.
- 7. To find an estimated Y' from the polynomial using the regression coefficients, enter the X' value and press A'. Repeat with other X' values as often as you like.
- 8. If you wish to calculate the residuals and variance enter bank 1 of program B. The partitioning will already be at 10 Op 17.
 - a. Press C to initialize.
- b. Enter an x value and press A. The entered value and the register where it is stored are printed.
- c. Enter the corresponding Y value and press B. The Y value and the register where it is stored are printed, then the number of pairs entered so far is printed and displayed.
 - d. Repeat steps 8.b and 8.c for up to 35 pairs.
- e. Correct entry errors by using the STO command together with the printed indication of storage location.

Polynomial Regression with Variance - (cont)

Banks 1 and 2 of Program A

000 69 BP 77 RC* 001 73 RC* 002 73 RC* 003 004 72 T01 002 003 004 72 T01 000 005 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 0
. 115 43 RCL 116 89 89 117 42 89 118 13 13 119 25 CLR 120 98 ADV 121 98 ADV 122 98 ADV 123 92 RTN 124 76 LBL 125 17 B NV 126 22 INBL 127 76 LBL 128 86 STF 130 01 87 B PRT 131 42 STD 132 99 PRT 133 98 ADV 134 99 PRT 135 43 RCL 136 99 99 137 85 + 138 03 03 134 99 RTN 135 43 RCL 139 99 PRT 136 GTD 142 01 01 143 49 49 144 76 LBL 145 16 APV 147 86 STF 148 01 01 149 36 PGM 150 07 07 151 13 01 01 152 87 IFF 153 01 01 155 92 RTN 156 92 RTN 157 01 01
160 76 LBL 161 12 B 162 61 GTD 163 03 03 164 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 76 LBL 165 76 LBL 166 11 R 167 42 STD 168 01 RCL 170 05 05 171 69 0P 172 04 RCL 173 43 RCL 174 01 01 175 69 0P 176 06 06 0 178 92 RTN 179 76 LBL 182 03 03 183 68 LBL 183 68 68 LBL 184 76 LBL 185 13 CCL 187 07 07 0P 188 69 0P 189 01 02 RCL 187 07 08 RCL 187 08 RCL 187 08 RCL 187 08 RCL 188 184 RCL 195 09 0P 197 03 RCL 194 WAS RCL 205 44 SUM 207 11 SBR 209 12 INV 201 04 CA 201 04 CA 202 28 RCL 203 43 RCL 203 176 LBL 217 01 RCL 218 01 GTD 220 03 03 33 222 76 LBL 227 01 RCL 228 43 RCL 228 43 RCL 229 19 42 STD 220 194 43 RCL 221 222 INV 211 19 19 212 INV 212 223 43 RCL 223 43 RCL 224 43 RCL 225 11 STD 226 44 STD 227 01 RCL 228 43 RCL 229 231 42 STD 231 42 STD 232 43 RCL 233 42 STD 234 43 RCL
240 43 RCL 241 22 23 TID 242 42 5TD 243 63 RCL 244 642 STD 245 62 06 RTNT 246 62 8TD 247 06 06 RTNT 248 00 07 RTNT 248 00 07 RTNT 250 15 STD 251 248 32 X 1 T STD 251 252 42 253 32 X 253 62 0 RTNT 252 253 42 253 62 0 RTNT 253 856 702 62 0 RTNT 255 857 02 261 253 RCL 256 87 02 261 253 RCL 257 02 262 263 43 RCL 257 02 263 43 RCL 258 60 89 RNT 258 60 89 RNT 258 80 RNT 2
320 43 RCL 60 06 06 322 69 0P 20 323 44 RCL 325 04 04 SUM 324 43 RCL 325 04 04 SUM 329 11 11 330 33 X2 M2 STD 333 02 2 TD 334 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02
39 + 3 = ÷ 2 = T0 ALL1 T

Polynomial Regression with Variance - (cont)

Banks 3 and 4 of Progrsm A:

31000000. 0. 0. 0. 44000000. 45000000. 27133637. 33132435. 16172717. 3717160000. 0. 0. 0. 0.	00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1617223517. 1700322100. 3517223517.	201234567899012345670	19. 7. 2024222317. 3637003317: 3530243636. 2414271700. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	40 412 444 444 444 444 444 55 55 55 55 55 55 5
0. 0.	17 18	3517223517. 3636243231.	37 38	0.	

Bank 1 of Program B:

000 001 002 003 004 005 006 007 010 012 013 014 015 017 018 019 020 023 024 025	76 LBL 16 R' 17 R' 18 R'	027 028 029 030 031 033 033 033 033 033 034 042 044 044 045 044 049 050 051 053	43 RCL 16 16 16 A* 65 1 00 0 00 0 85 + 43 RCL 17 16 A* 95 B* 69 DP 20 20 01 1 44 SUM 17 17 43 RCL 17 17 43 RCL 17 17 43 RCL 17 17 43 RCL 17 20 9 77 GE 00 00 58 58 00 42 STD		17 17 17 14 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 2 ST* 20 00 32 X:T 18 C. 19 19 00 0 92 RTN 76 LBL 12 B 72 ST* 30 00 17 18 C. 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	081 082 083 084 085 086 087 090 091 092 093 094 095 099 100 101 102 103 104 105 106	17 B* 00 0V 98 ADV 92 ATN 76 LBL 13 C 25 CLR 19 19 42 STD 17 3 3 42 STD 16 03 3 00 0 92 RTN 14 D 25 CLR 42 STD 15 15 03 0	108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 120 121 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132	42 STO 00 OO 43 RCL 13 13 42 STO 14 14 73 C OO 69 OP 20 RC* 00 STO 75 C OO 69 OP 20 RC* 00 STO 33 X2 44 SUM 15 OP 20 AS OO 68 NOP 97 DSZ 14 OO 14 OO 15 OO 16 OO 16 OO 17 OO 18 OO	135 136 137 138 140 141 142 144 145 147 149 150 151 155 156 157 158 159	06 6 69 0P 17 17 43 RCL 43 RCL 43 RCL 43 RCL 95 = 43 RCL 95 = 42 RCL 95 STD 18 18 92 RTV 32 XTT 04 4 02 17 BTV 92 RTN 93 RTN 94 RTN 95 RTN 97 RTN 98 RTN 98 RTN 99 RTN
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---	--	--

Editor's Note: This is a very versatile program for polynomial regression, but it does involve a lot of magnetic card manipulation. Users who can limit the highest degree to 4, and the number of data pairs to 20 might wish to use my polynomial curve fit program. The data points are entered as rapidly as you can key them in, with no wait for accumulation of sums. The entered data pairs may be edited in a manner similar to step 8.e of the Friel program. Then one option accumulates the sums, automatically loads the second half of the program using INV Write, and prints out the complete solutions for degrees 1 through 4 including residuals and the standard error (the square root of variance) without any additional user action.

PO House